

The background of the cover is a photograph of a vernal pool. The foreground is filled with tall, green reeds growing out of the water. The water reflects the blue sky and white clouds. In the distance, there is a flat landscape with some trees and utility poles under a bright blue sky with scattered white clouds.

California Rapid Assessment Method

Individual Vernal Pools Field Book

Version 6.1

April, 2013

Basic Information: Individual Vernal Pool

Assessment Area Name:					
Project Name:					
Assessment Area ID #:					
Project ID #:				Date:	
Assessment Team Members for This AA					
AA Location:					
Latitude:		Longitude:		Datum:	
Wetland Category:					
<input type="checkbox"/> Natural <input type="checkbox"/> Constructed <input type="checkbox"/> Restoration (Rehabilitation OR Enhancement)					
If Created or Restored, does the action encompass:					
<input type="checkbox"/> entire wetland <input type="checkbox"/> portion of the wetland					
What best describes the hydrologic state of the wetland at the time of assessment?					
<input type="checkbox"/> ponded/inundated <input type="checkbox"/> saturated soil, but no surface water <input type="checkbox"/> dry					
What is the apparent hydrologic regime of the wetland?					
<input type="checkbox"/> long-duration <input type="checkbox"/> medium-duration <input type="checkbox"/> short-duration					
Does the vernal pool system connect with the floodplain of a nearby stream?					
<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no					
Photo Identification Numbers and Description:					
	Photo ID No.	Description	Latitude	Longitude	Datum
1		North			
2		South			
3		East			
4		West			
5					
6					
Comments:					

Scoring Sheet: Individual Vernal Pools

AA Name:			Date:		
Attributes and Metrics		Alpha.	Numeric	Comments	
Attribute 1: Buffer and Landscape Context (pg. 7-15)					
(A) Aquatic Area Abundance					
	Alpha.	Numeric			
(B): Percent of AA with Buffer					
(C): Average Buffer Width					
(D): Buffer Condition					
Initial Attribute Score = $A + [D \times (B \times C)^{1/2}]^{1/2}$				Final Attribute Score = (Initial Score/24) x 100	
Attribute 2: Hydrology (pg. 8-18)					
Water Source					
Hydroperiod					
Hydrologic Connectivity					
Initial Attribute Score = sum of metric scores				Final Attribute Score = (Initial Score/36) x 100	
Attribute 3: Physical Structure (pg. 19-22)					
Structural Patch Richness					
Topographic Complexity					
Initial Attribute Score = sum of metric scores				Final Attribute Score = (Initial Score/24) x 100	
Attribute 4: Biotic Structure (pg. 23-27)					
Horizontal Interspersion and Zonation					
	Alpha.	Numeric			
Plant Community submetric A: Number of Co-dominants					
Plant Community submetric B: Percent Non-native					
Plant Community submetric C: Endemic Species Richness					
Plant Community Composition Metric (numeric average of submetrics A-C)					
Initial Attribute Score = sum of metric scores				Final Attribute Score = (Initial Score/24) x 100	
Overall AA Score (Average of four Final Attribute Scores)					

Figure 1: Flowchart to determine wetland type and sub-type.



Vernal Pool Wetlands

Vernal pools are ephemeral wetlands that form in shallow depressions underlain by bedrock or by an impervious, near-surface soil horizon. These depressions fill with rainwater and runoff during the winter and may remain inundated until spring or early summer, sometimes filling and emptying repeatedly during the wet season. Vernal pools undergo four distinct annual phases: (1) the wetting phase with the onset of the first rains; (2) the aquatic phase when the peak rainfall and inundation occurs; (3) the drying phase when many plants flower and produce seed and many animals disperse; and finally (4) the drought phase when the soil dries and cracks, and the plants succumb to extreme dry conditions. Vernal pools typically support a minimum of 30% cover of native plant species during the aquatic or drying phase. Vernal pools in disturbed areas or subjected to abnormal rainfall patterns might not meet this criterion due to invasion by non-native plants. If the wetland is mostly characteristic of a vernal pool but also has characteristics of other kinds of wetlands, such that its classification as a vernal pool is not completely certain, then it should be considered a vernal pool.

Vernal Pool Systems and Individual Vernal Pools

Vernal pools often occur together and with vernal swales as vernal pool systems. These can have many pools of various sizes and shapes, varying floral and faunal composition, and various hydroperiods. Water can move between adjacent pools and swales through the thin soils above the underlying impervious substrate. The lack of surface flow between pools does not necessarily indicate that they are not hydrologically inter-connected.

Pools can be assessed individually or as parts of systems. This CRAM module is designed to assess pools individually. A separate CRAM module is available for assessing vernal pools systems. However, pools that are integral elements of vernal pools systems should be assessed as such using the vernal pool system module.

There are a variety of reasons for assessing individual pools. Some pools are so much larger than others in a system that they are clearly “one of a kind.” That is, there are no other pools in the system comparable in size. Some pools, regardless of their size, are clearly geographically and hydrologically isolated from other vernal pools. Sometimes there are pools within a system that warrant individual assessment because of research interests, proximity to stressors, they are subject to separate management practices, etc.

Vernal Pool Landscapes

Vernal pools and vernal pools systems are underlain by bedrock or by an impervious, near-surface soil horizon. These conditions can extend for many kilometers. Large areas having numerous individual vernal pools, swales, or multiple vernal pool systems are termed vernal pool landscapes. In general, vernal pools and swales must comprise at least 10% of the land surface to define a vernal pool landscape. This definition can be revised as new data on pool density are assembled.

Other Depressional Wetlands

Depressional wetlands other than vernal pools can be seasonal or perennial, but their flora and fauna are mostly not characteristic of vernal pools, and ***they lack the impervious substrate that controls vernal pool hydrology***. They differ from lacustrine wetlands by lacking an adjacent area of open water at least 2 m deep and 8 ha total area. They differ from playas by lacking an adjacent area larger than the wetland of either alkaline or saline open water less than 2 m deep or non-vegetated, fine-grain sediments. Unlike slope wetlands (i.e., springs and seeps), depressional wetlands depend more on precipitation than groundwater as their water source.

Establish the Assessment Area (AA)

Table 1: Examples of features that *should* be used to delineate AA boundaries.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • above-grade roads and fills • major point sources of water inflows or outflows • weirs, berms, levees and other flow control structures

Table 2: Examples of features that should *not* be used to delineate any AAs.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • at-grade, unpaved, single-lane, infrequently used roadways or crossings • bike paths and jogging trails at grade • bare ground within what would otherwise be the AA boundary • equestrian trails • fences (unless designed to obstruct the movement of wildlife) • property boundaries • riffle (or rapid) – glide – pool transitions in a riverine wetland • spatial changes in land cover or land use along the wetland border • state and federal jurisdictional boundaries

Table 3: Recommended maximum and minimum AA sizes for the Vernal Pool wetland type.

Note: Wetlands smaller than the recommended AA sizes can be assessed in their entirety.

Wetland Type	Recommended AA Size
Individual Vernal Pool	There are no size limits
Vernal Pool Systems	Preferred size is <10 ha (about 300m x 300m; shape can vary); there is no minimum size so long as there are between 3 and 6 pools. If the system has between 3 and 6 pools, assess all of them. If there are more than 6 pools, select 6 that represent the range in size of pools present on the site.

Table 4: Steps to delineate a vernal pool system and its component large and small pools.

Individual Vernal Pool Delineation Task
<p>On the site imagery, draw the boundary around the vernal pool that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• encompasses the entire pool including its marginal zone of seasonal saturation above the evident high water contours;• extends at least one meter but no more than 30 meters beyond (landward or outside of) the marginal zone of seasonal saturation;• does not cross any above-grade roads or other fills that artificially dissect what had been a larger pool before the fill was placed.



Figure 2: Example map of (A) one vernal pool that is obviously larger than the others in a vernal pool system, and (B) a pool that is geographically isolated.

Attribute 1: Buffer and Landscape Context

Metric 1: Aquatic Area Abundance

The Aquatic Area Abundance of an Assessment Area is assessed in terms of its spatial association with other areas of aquatic habitat, such as other wetlands, lakes, streams, etc. It is assumed that wetlands close to each other have a greater potential to interact ecologically and hydrologically, and that such interactions are generally beneficial.

On digital or hardcopy site imagery, at a scale 1:6000 to 1:8000, identify the approximate center of the AA. In each of the four cardinal compass directions, draw a straight transect line from edge of the AA boundary (in line with the center of the AA) to a point 500 m from the AA boundary. Estimate the percentage of the 500-m segment of each transect that passes through wetland or other aquatic habitat, including open water. Include a 30 m buffer around vernal pool systems and individual vernal pools in the estimation of aquatic habitat. For all other wetland types in the VP system (swales, etc.) include a 5 m buffer. Areas dedicated to flood control but not otherwise mapped as aquatic habitat or not otherwise exhibiting characteristics of aquatic habitat in aerial imagery should not be identified as aquatic areas. Use Worksheet 1 below to record these estimates. Ignore any aquatic area that intercepts less than 5m of a line.




Figure 3: Diagram of method to assess Aquatic Area Abundance of vernal pool wetlands. The AA#1 is an individual vernal pool. Other AAs depict systems crossing the 500 meter cardinal lines.

Worksheet 1: Aquatic Area Abundance Metric for Individual Vernal Pools.

Percentage of Each Transect Line Crossing Wetland or Other Aquatic Habitat	
Transect	Percent Crossing Aquatic Area
North	
South	
East	
West	
Average Percent Crossing Aquatic Area for all Four Transects *Round to nearest integer*	

**Table 5: Rating for Aquatic Area Abundance for Individual Vernal Pools.
(enter rating in Scoring Sheet)**

Rating	Alternative States
A	An average of 21 – 100 % of the transects pass through an aquatic feature of any kind.
B	An average of 11 – 20 % of the transects pass through an aquatic feature of any kind.
C	An average of 6 – 10 % of the transects pass through an aquatic feature of any kind.
D	An average of 0 – 5 % of the transects pass through an aquatic feature of any kind.

Metric 2: Buffer

The buffer is the area adjoining the AA that is in a natural or semi-natural state and currently not dedicated to anthropogenic uses that would severely detract from its ability to entrap contaminants, discourage forays into the AA by people and non-native predators, or otherwise protect the AA from stress and disturbance.

To be considered as buffer, a suitable land cover type must be at least 5 m wide and extend along the perimeter of the AA for at least 5 m. The maximum width of the buffer is 250 m. At distances beyond 250 m from the AA, the buffer becomes part of the landscape context of the AA.

Special Note:

**Any area of open water at least 30 m wide that is adjoining the AA, such as a lake, large river, or large slough, is not considered in the assessment of the buffer. Such open water is considered to be neutral, and is neither part of the wetland nor part of the buffer. There are three reasons for excluding large areas of open water (i.e., more than 30 m wide) from Assessment Areas and their buffers.*

- 1) Assessments of buffer extent and buffer width are inflated by including open water as a part of the buffer.*
- 2) While there may be positive correlations between wetland stressors and the quality of open water, quantifying water quality generally requires laboratory analyses beyond the scope of rapid assessment.*
- 3) Open water can be a direct source of stress (i.e., water pollution, waves, boat wakes) or an indirect source of stress (i.e., promotes human visitation, encourages intensive use by livestock looking for water, provides dispersal for non-native plant species), or it can be a source of benefits to a wetland (e.g., nutrients, propagules of native plant species, water that is essential to maintain wetland hydroperiod, etc.).*

However, any area of open water that is within 250 m of the AA but is not adjoining the AA **is considered part of the buffer.*

Submetric A: Percent of AA with Buffer

Definition: This submetric is based on the relationship between the extent of buffer and the functions provided by aquatic areas. Areas with more buffer typically provide more habitat values, better water quality and other valuable functions. This submetric is scored by visually estimating from aerial imagery (with field verification) the percent of the AA that is surrounded by at least 5 meters of buffer land cover (Figure 3).

In the example below, most of the area around the AA (cross hatched) consists of non-buffer land cover types. The AA adjoins a major roadway, parking lot, and other development that is a non-buffer land cover type. There is a nearby wetland but it is separated from the AA by a major roadway and is not considered buffer. The open water area is neutral and not considered in the estimation of the percentage of the AA perimeter that has buffer. In this example, the only areas that would be considered buffer is the area labeled “Upland Buffer”.




Figure 3: Diagram of buffer and non-buffer land cover types. Open water adjoining the AA is disregarded; it is neither considered to be buffer nor non-buffer. This is not a vernal pool system example, but the concepts apply to all wetland types.

Table 6: Guidelines for identifying wetland buffers and breaks in buffers.

Examples of Land Covers Included in Buffers	Examples of Land Covers Excluded from Buffers Notes: buffers do not cross these land covers; areas of open water adjacent to the AA are not included in the assessment of the AA or its buffer.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • at-grade bike and foot trails, or trails (with light traffic) • horse trails • natural upland habitats • nature or wildland parks • range land and pastures • railroads (with infrequent use: 2 trains per day or less) • roads not hazardous to wildlife, such as seldom used rural roads, forestry roads or private roads • swales and ditches • vegetated levees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • commercial developments • fences that interfere with the movements of wildlife (i.e. food safety fences that prevent the movement of deer, rabbits and frogs) • intensive agriculture (row crops, orchards and vineyards) • golf courses • paved roads (two lanes or larger) • lawns • active railroads (more than 2 trains per day) • parking lots • horse paddocks, feedlots, turkey ranches, etc. • residential areas • sound walls • sports fields • urbanized parks with active recreation • pedestrian/bike trails (with heavy traffic)

Worksheet 2: Percent of AA with Buffer

In the space provided below make a quick sketch of the AA, or on aerial the imagery, indicate where buffer is present, and record the total amount in the space provided.

Percent of AA with Buffer: _____ %

Table 7: Rating for Percent of AA with Buffer.
(enter rating in scoring sheet)

Rating	Alternative States (not including open-water areas)
A	Buffer is 75 - 100% of AA perimeter.
B	Buffer is 50 – 74% of AA perimeter.
C	Buffer is 25 – 49% of AA perimeter.
D	Buffer is 0 – 24% of AA perimeter.

Submetric B: Average Buffer Width

Definition: The average width of the buffer adjoining the AA is estimated by averaging the lengths of eight straight lines drawn at regular intervals around the AA from its perimeter outward to the nearest non-buffer land cover or 250 m, whichever is first encountered. It is assumed that the functions of the buffer do not increase significantly beyond an average width of about 250 m. The maximum buffer width is therefore 250 m. The minimum buffer width is 5 m, and the minimum length of buffer along the perimeter of the AA is also 5 m. Any area that is less than 5 m wide and 5 m long is too small to be a buffer. See Table 6 above for more guidance regarding the identification of AA buffers.

Table 8: Steps to Estimate Buffer Width.
(use Worksheet 2 or aerial to prepare sketch)

Step 1	Draw eight straight transects 250 m in length perpendicular to the AA through the buffer area at regular intervals along the portion of the perimeter of the AA that has a buffer. These lines should not cross.
Step 2	Estimate the buffer width of each of the transects as they extend away from the AA. Record these lengths on worksheet 3 below.
Step 3	Calculate the average buffer width. Record this width on worksheet 3 below.




Figure 5: Examples of the method used to estimate Buffer Width. Note that the width is based on the lengths of eight lines A-H that extend at regular intervals through the buffer areas, whether only a small part of the 250 m zone around the AA is buffer (A) or all of the zone around the AA is buffer (B).

Worksheet 3: Calculating average buffer width of AA.

Transect	Buffer Width (m)
A	
B	
C	
D	
E	
F	
G	
H	
Average Buffer Width *Round to nearest integer*	

**Table 9: Rating for Average Buffer Width
(enter rating in scoring sheet)**

Rating	Alternative States
A	Average buffer width is 190 – 250 m.
B	Average buffer width 130 – 189 m.
C	Average buffer width is 65 – 129 m.
D	Average buffer width is 0 – 64 m.

Submetric C: Buffer Condition

Definition: The condition of a buffer is assessed according to the extent and quality of its vegetation cover, the overall condition of its substrate, and the amount of human visitation. Evidence of direct impacts (parking lots, buildings, etc.) by people are excluded from this metric and included in the Stressor Checklist. Buffer conditions are assessed only for the portion of the wetland border that has **already been identified as buffer in the previous step**. If there is no buffer, assign a score of D.

Table 10: Rating for Buffer Condition
(enter rating in scoring sheet)

Rating	Alternative States
A	Buffer for AA is dominated by native vegetation, has undisturbed soils, and is apparently subject to little or no human visitation.
B	Buffer for AA is characterized by native and naturalized vegetation, has no appreciable phytomass accumulation or invasive infestations, and has mostly undisturbed soils and is apparently subject to little or low impact human visitation.
C	Buffer for AA is characterized by non-native vegetation with little or no native component, or has appreciable phytomass accumulation or invasive infestations, or has a moderate degree of soil disturbance/compaction, or there is evidence of at least moderate intensity of human visitation.
D	Buffer for AA is characterized by barren ground or otherwise compacted or disturbed soils, or there is significant cover of invasive species, or there is evidence of very intense human visitation.

Attribute 2: Hydrology

Metric 1: Water Source

Definition: Water Sources directly affect the extent, duration, and frequency of saturated or ponded conditions within an Assessment Area. Water Sources include the kinds of direct inputs of water into the AA as well as any diversions of water from the AA. Diversions are considered a water source because they affect the ability of the AA to function as a source of water for other habitats while also directly affecting the hydrology of the AA. Natural, direct sources include rainfall, and ground water discharge. Examples of unnatural, direct sources include stormdrains that empty directly into the AA or into an immediately adjacent area.

To score this metric use site aerial imagery and any other information collected about the region or watershed associated with the vernal pool system the AA is located in to assess the water source in an area up to 2 km upstream of your AA (Table 11). If the watershed is smaller than 2km, assess only the area that is associated with the vernal pool system the AA is located in.

Table 11: Rating for Water Source
(enter rating in scoring sheet)

Rating	Alternative States
A	There is no indication that dry season conditions are substantially controlled by artificial water sources.
B	Freshwater sources that affect the dry season conditions of the AA are mostly natural, but also obviously include occasional or small effects of modified hydrology. Indications of such anthropogenic inputs include developed land or irrigated agricultural land that comprises less than 20% of the immediate vicinity.
C	Freshwater sources that affect the dry season conditions of the AA are primarily urban runoff, direct irrigation, pumped water or other artificial hydrology. Indications are developed land or irrigated agriculture that comprise more than 20 % of the immediate vicinity.
D	Natural, freshwater sources that affect the dry season conditions of the AA have been eliminated, or all wet season inflows have been impounded or diverted.

Metric 2: Hydroperiod

Definition: Hydroperiod is the characteristic frequency and duration of inundation or saturation of a wetland during a typical year. Vernal pools are ephemeral wetlands that form in shallow depressions underlain by bedrock or by an impervious, near-surface soil horizon. These depressions fill with rainwater and runoff during the winter and may remain inundated until spring or early summer, sometimes filling and emptying repeatedly during the wet season.

Table 12: Field Indicators of Altered Hydroperiod

Direct Engineering Evidence	Indirect Ecological Evidence
Reduced Extent and Duration of Inundation or Saturation	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Upstream spring boxes Impoundments Pumps, diversions, ditching that move water <i>out of</i> the wetland 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence of aquatic wildlife mortality Encroachment of terrestrial vegetation Stress or mortality of hydrophytes Compressed or reduced plant zonation
Increased Extent and Duration of Inundation or Saturation	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Berms Dikes Pumps, diversions, ditching that move water <i>into</i> the wetland 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Late-season vitality of annual vegetation Recently drowned riparian vegetation Extensive fine-grain deposits

Table 13: Rating of Hydroperiod for Individual Vernal Pools.
(enter rating in Scoring Sheet)

Rating	Alternative States
A	Hydroperiod of the AA is characterized by natural patterns of filling, inundation, or saturation as well as natural patterns of drying or drawdown with no indication of hydro-modification. There are no artificial controls on the hydroperiod.
B	The filling, inundation, or saturation patterns in the AA are of greater magnitude or longer duration than would be expected under natural condition (or compared to comparable natural wetlands), but thereafter, the AA is subject to natural processes and patterns of drawdown or drying.
C	The patterns of filling, inundation or saturation of the AA as well as the patterns of drawdown or drying of the AA are naturalistic but controlled by unnatural processes due to hydromodification.
D	The patterns of filling, inundation or saturation of the AA as well as the patterns of drawdown or drying of the AA significantly deviate from natural patterns due to hydromodification.

Metric 3: Hydrologic Connectivity

Definition: Hydrologic Connectivity describes the ability of water to flow into or out of the wetland, or to inundate their adjacent uplands. It provides for the ecotone caused by the moisture gradient between the vernal pool and its surrounding upland. For an individual vernal pool, hydrological connectivity is scored by assessing the degree to which the rise and fall of surface water along the margin of the AA is restricted by unnatural features, such as levees and excessively high or steep banks, that truncate, foreshorten, or compress the ecotone relative to what is expected for the site given its natural topography. **This metric applies to both within and immediately adjacent to the AA.**

Table 14: Rating of Hydrologic Connectivity for Individual Vernal Pools.
(enter rating in Scoring Sheet)

Rating	Alternative States
A	Rising water in the AA has unrestricted access to adjacent areas, without levees or other obstructions to the lateral movement of flood waters.
B	There are unnatural features such as levees or road grades that limit the amount of adjacent transition zone or the lateral movement of flood waters, relative to what is expected for the setting. But, the limitations exist for less than 50% of the boundary of the AA. Restrictions may be intermittent along margins of the AA, or they may occur only along one side of the AA. Flood flows may exceed the obstructions, but drainage back to the AA is obstructed.
C	The amount of adjacent transition zone or the lateral movement of flood waters is limited, relative to what is expected for the setting, by unnatural features, such as levees or road grades, for 50-90% of the AA. Flood flows may exceed the obstructions, but drainage back to the AA is obstructed.
D	The amount of adjacent transition zone or the lateral movement of flood waters is limited, relative to what is expected for the setting, by unnatural features, such as levees or road grades, for more than 90% of the AA.

Attribute 3: Physical Structure

Metric 1: Structural Patch Richness

Patch richness is the number of different obvious types of physical surfaces or features that may provide habitat for aquatic (including wetland) or riparian species. This metric is different from topographic complexity in that it addresses the number of different patch types, whereas topographic complexity helps evaluate the spatial arrangement and interspersed of the types. Physical patches can be natural or unnatural.

Worksheet 4: Structural Patch Type for Individual Vernal Pools.

Identify each type of patch that is observed in the AA and use the total number of observed patch types in Table 15. Patch type definitions are provided on the next page.

Structural Patch Type	Check for Presence
Adjacent shrub or tree cover	
Animal mounds and burrows	
Bare soil (minimum 3 m ²)	
Cobble and boulders	
Islands	
Mima mounds	
Patches of dense vegetation	
Soil cracks	
Within Pool Mounds	
Total Possible	9
No. Observed Patch Types (use in Table 15)	

Table 15: Rating of Structural Patch Richness.
(enter rating in Scoring Sheet)

Rating	No. of Patch Types
A	≥ 7
B	5 – 6
C	3 – 4
D	≤ 2

Patch Type Definitions for Vernal Pool Systems:

Adjacent shrub or tree cover. These are patches of adjacent shrub or tree areas adjacent to pools. They provide shading, nutrient input, and potentially affect pool dry-down among other biogeochemical processes.

Animal mounds and burrows. Many vertebrates make mounds or holes as a consequence of their foraging, denning, predation, or other behaviors. The resulting soil disturbance helps to redistribute soil nutrients and thus it influences plant species composition and abundance. To be considered a patch type there should be evidence that a population of burrowing animals has occupied the Assessment Area. A single burrow or mound does not constitute a patch.

Bare soil. Bare soil is any area at least 3m² in size within a vernal pool system that has less than 5% cover of vegetation during the peak of the growing season. Rock outcrops do not qualify as bare soil.

Cobble and boulders. Cobble and boulders are rocks of different size categories. The long axis of cobble ranges from about 6 cm to about 25 cm. A boulder is any rock having a long axis greater than 25 cm. Submerged cobbles and boulders provide abundant habitat for aquatic macroinvertebrates. Exposed cobbles and boulders provide roosting habitat for birds and shelter for amphibians. They contribute to patterns of shade and light and air movement near the ground surface that affect local soil moisture gradients, deposition of seeds and debris, and overall substrate complexity.

Islands. There are patches of upland vegetation located within pools and lying topographically above the maximum zone of pool inundation.

Mima mounds. Mima mounds are elliptical mounds of soil, usually 1-3 meters tall, and uniformly distributed across a landscape. The sizes of areas between mounds is often very similar to the mound sizes, such that the landscape, as viewed from a few thousand feet above, resembles the surface of a golf ball. Vernal pools tend to form in the low areas between mima mounds.

Patches of dense vegetation (*Juncus*, *Eleocharis*, *bunchgrasses*) – Patches of vegetation in which one or several species have significantly higher vegetative cover in comparison with the remainder of the pool. These patches should be visually distinguishable.

Soil cracks. Repeated wetting and drying of fine grain soil that typifies some wetlands can cause the soil to crack and form deep fissures that increase the mobility of heavy metals, promote oxidation and subsidence, while also providing habitat for amphibians and macroinvertebrates. Cracks must be a minimum of 1 inch deep to qualify.

Within Pool Mounds. These are patches (of earth) located within pools and raised above the pool bottom at elevation that lies within the zone of maximum inundation. Mounds may be inundated periodically during the wet season or saturated by surrounding ponded water for sufficient duration to promote a dominance of hydrophytic vegetation characteristic of pool basins or edges.

Metric 2: Topographic Complexity

Topographic complexity refers to the variety of elevations within a wetland due to micro- topographic features and elevation gradients. Cross sections of the individual pool are recorded in Worksheet 5 below. A score for the pool is then determined based on the practitioners drawings, the indicators listed in Table 16, the scale-independent schematic profiles in Figure 4, and the ratings in table 17

Table 16: Typical indicators of Macro- and Micro-topographic Complexity.

Type	Examples of Topographic Features
Vernal Pools and Pool Systems	soil cracks, “mima-mounds,” rivulets between pools or along swales, cobble, plant hummocks, cattle or sheep tracks

Worksheet 5: Sketches of Vernal Pool Profiles

Along the long axis of the pool and perpendicular to the long axis across the middle, make a sketch of the profile of the pool from its outside edge (1-3m landward or away from the saturated zone of the pool) to its deepest areas and back out to the opposite edge. Try to capture the major breaks in slope and the intervening micro-topographic relief.

Profile 1
Profile 2




Figure 4: Scale-independent schematic profiles of Topographic Complexity. The right end represents the edge of the AA, which should be between 1-3 m landward (outside of) the apparent marginal saturation zone of the pool. The left end of each profile represents the middle or deepest place in the pool along the cross-section line. The choice of indicative profile should be based on sketches along the cardinal compass directions. In the uppermost profile, the numbers 1, 2, and 3 refer to separate apparent breaks in the topographic slope. In other profiles, either two, one, or no breaks in the slope are evident.

Table 17: Rating Topographic Complexity for Individual Vernal Pools.
(enter rating in scoring sheet)

Rating	Alternative States
A	There are three obvious breaks in slope with or without abundant micro-topographic relief along most of the average profile.
	OR There are two breaks in slope with abundant micro-topographic relief.
B	There is essentially a single slope with abundant micro-topographic relief.
	OR There are two breaks in slope without abundant micro-topographic relief.
C	There is a single slope without abundant micro-topographic relief.
D	There is essentially no slope, with or without micro-topographic relief.
	OR There is a single slope that is unusually steep and short for a natural profile.

Attribute 4: Biotic Structure

Metric 1: Horizontal Interspersion and Zonation

Horizontal biotic structure refers to the variety and interspersion of plant “zones,” plant monocultures or obvious multi-species association or assemblages that are arrayed along gradients of elevation, moisture, or other environmental factors. Interspersion is essentially a measure of the number of distinct plant zones and the amount of shared edge between them.

Worksheet 6: Sketches of Vernal Pool Plant Zones

Make a sketch-map of the vernal pool boundary plus the approximate locations of obvious plant zones. Compare the sketch-map to Figure 5 to score the pool with regard to horizontal Interspersion and zonation. Make special note of amount of shared edge.




Figure 5: Degrees of interspersion of plant zones for Individual Vernal Pools. Each zone must comprise at least 5% of the pool area. The white area in this figure surrounding each pool represents the upland matrix; the orange area represents the marginal saturation zone. All pools, even as shown for score “D”, therefore have at least 1 zone. It is helpful to assign names of plant species or associations of species to the colored patches and to make special note of amount of shared edge.









 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> A High </div> 	Pool has 3 plant zones and <i>much shared edge</i>
 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> B Moderate </div> 	Pool has 2 plant zones and <i>much shared edge</i>
 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> C Low </div> 	Pool has 2 plant zones and <i>little shared edge</i>
 <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle;"> D None </div> 	Pool has 1 plant zone and <i>no interspersion</i>

Table 18: Rating of Horizontal Interspersion of Plant Zones for Individual Vernal Pools.
(enter rating in scoring sheet)

Rating	Alternative States
A	AA has a high degree of plan-view interspersion.
B	AA has a moderate degree of plan-view interspersion.
C	AA has a low degree of plan-view interspersion.
D	AA has essentially no plan-view interspersion.

Metric 2: Plant Community Composition Sub-metrics

The Plant Community Metric is composed of three submetrics; Number of Co-dominant Species, Percent Non-native Co-dominants, and Endemic Species Richness.

Submetric A: Number of Co-dominant Species

For the pool as a whole, all plant species that comprise at least 10% relative cover are considered to be dominant. Only living vegetation in growth position is considered in this metric. Dead or senescent vegetation is disregarded.


Submetric B: Percent Non-native

A list of native pool species is provided in Appendix I. Any species not on this list is considered to be non-native. However, this list is not exhaustive, and there may be native upland species occurring in a pool. Expertise is required to assure that species are correctly identified as native or non-native.

Submetric C: Endemic Species Richness

This submetric is based on the total number of co-dominant native plant species endemic to vernal pools that occur in the AA, based on Appendix I. All “vpi” from Appendix 1 are endemic species. Use best professional judgment to decide if others are endemic to vernal pools in the region. Generalists are not usually endemic even regionally.

Figure 6: Flow Chart to Determine Plant Dominance in Vernal Pool Systems.



Worksheet 7a: Plant Community Composition Metric – Co-dominant Plant Species in Individual Vernal Pool

Note: A dominant species represents $\geq 10\%$ *relative cover*. Count species only once when calculating any Plant Community Composition sub-metric. Use Appendix I to determine if a species is non-native and/or endemic.

[illegible]

**Worksheet 7b: Plant Community Composition Metric –
List of Unique Co-dominant Vernal Pool Endemic Plant Species**

(A) Total number of co-dominant species (from worksheet 7a) (enter here and use in Table 19)	
(B) Total number of co-dominant species that are non-native (from worksheet 7a)	
Percent Non-native [(B)/(A) x 100] *Round to nearest integer* (enter here and use in Table 20)	
Total number of co-dominant vernal pool endemic species based on Appendix I (enter here and use in Table 21)	

**Table 19: Ratings for Number of Co-dominant Species.
(enter rating in Scoring Sheet)**

Rating	Number of co-dominant species
A	≥ 6
B	4 – 5
C	2 – 3
D	1

**Table 20: Ratings for Percent Non-native.
(enter rating in Scoring Sheet)**

Rating	Percent Non-native
A	0 – 20%
B	21 – 33%
C	34 – 49%
D	50 – 100%

**Table 21: Ratings for Endemic Species Richness.
(enter rating in Scoring Sheet)**

Rating	Endemic Species Richness
A	≥ 6
B	4 – 5
C	2 – 3
D	0 – 1

Guidelines to Complete the Stressor Checklists

A stressor, as defined for the purposes of the CRAM, is an anthropogenic perturbation within a wetland or its environmental setting that is likely to negatively impact the condition and function of the CRAM Assessment Area (AA). A disturbance is a natural phenomenon that affects the AA.

There are four underlying assumptions of the Stressor Checklist: (1) deviation from the best achievable condition can be explained by a single stressor or multiple stressors acting on the wetland; (2) increasing the number of stressors acting on the wetland causes a decline in its condition (there is no assumption as to whether this decline is additive (linear), multiplicative, or is best represented by some other non-linear mode); (3) increasing either the intensity or the proximity of the stressor results in a greater decline in condition; and (4) continuous or chronic stress increases the decline in condition.

The process to identify stressors is the same for all wetland types. For each CRAM attribute, a variety of possible stressors are listed. Their presence and likelihood of significantly affecting the AA are recorded in the Stressor Checklist Worksheet. For the Hydrology, Physical Structure, and Biotic Structure attributes, the focus is on stressors operating within the AA or within 50 m of the AA. For the Buffer and Landscape Context attribute, the focus is on stressors operating within 500 m of the AA. More distant stressors that have obvious, direct, controlling influences on the AA can also be noted.

Table 22: Wetland disturbances and conversions.

Has a major disturbance occurred at this wetland?	Yes	No		
If yes, was it a flood, fire, landslide, or other?	flood	fire	landslide	other
If yes, then how severe is the disturbance?	likely to affect site next 5 or more years	likely to affect site next 3-5 years	likely to affect site next 1-2 years	
Has this wetland been converted from another type? If yes, then what was the previous type?	depressional	vernal pool	vernal pool system	
	non-confined riverine	confined riverine	bar-built estuarine	
	perennial saline estuarine	perennial non-saline estuarine	wet meadow	
	lacustrine	seep or spring	playa	

Worksheet 8: Stressor Checklist.

HYDROLOGY ATTRIBUTE (WITHIN 50 M OF AA)	Present	Present and likely to have significant negative effect on AA
Point Source (PS) discharges (POTW, other non-stormwater discharge)		
Non-point Source (Non-PS) discharges (urban runoff, farm drainage)		
Flow diversions or unnatural inflows		
Dams (reservoirs, detention basins, recharge basins)		
Flow obstructions (culverts, paved stream crossings)		
Weir/drop structure, tide gates		
Dredged inlet/channel		
Engineered channel (riprap, armored channel bank, bed)		
Dike/levees		
Groundwater extraction		
Ditches (borrow, agricultural drainage, mosquito control, etc.)		
Actively managed hydrology		
Comments		

PHYSICAL STRUCTURE ATTRIBUTE (WITHIN 50 M OF AA)	Present	Present and likely to have significant negative effect on AA
Filling or dumping of sediment or soils (N/A for restoration areas)		
Grading/ compaction (N/A for restoration areas)		
Plowing/Discing (N/A for restoration areas)		
Resource extraction (sediment, gravel, oil and/or gas)		
Vegetation management		
Excessive sediment or organic debris from watershed		
Excessive runoff from watershed		
Nutrient impaired (PS or Non-PS pollution)		
Heavy metal impaired (PS or Non-PS pollution)		
Pesticides or trace organics impaired (PS or Non-PS pollution)		
Bacteria and pathogens impaired (PS or Non-PS pollution)		
Trash or refuse		
Comments		

BIOTIC STRUCTURE ATTRIBUTE (WITHIN 50 M OF AA)	Present	Present and Likely to Have Significant negative effect on AA
Mowing, grazing, excessive herbivory (within AA)		
Excessive human visitation		
Predation and habitat destruction by non-native vertebrates (e.g., <i>Virginia opossum</i> and domestic predators, such as feral pets)		
Tree cutting/sapling removal		
Removal of woody debris		
Treatment of non-native and nuisance plant species		
Pesticide application or vector control		
Biological resource extraction or stocking (fisheries, aquaculture)		
Excessive organic debris in matrix (for vernal pools)		
Lack of vegetation management to conserve natural resources		
Lack of treatment of invasive plants adjacent to AA or buffer		
Comments		

BUFFER AND LANDSCAPE CONTEXT ATTRIBUTE (WITHIN 500 M OF AA)	Present	Present and likely to have significant negative effect on AA
Urban residential		
Industrial/commercial		
Military training/Air traffic		
Dams (or other major flow regulation or disruption)		
Dryland farming		
Intensive row-crop agriculture		
Orchards/nurseries		
Commercial feedlots		
Dairies		
Ranching (enclosed livestock grazing or horse paddock or feedlot)		
Transportation corridor		
Rangeland (livestock rangeland also managed for native vegetation)		
Sports fields and urban parklands (golf courses, soccer fields, etc.)		
Passive recreation (bird-watching, hiking, etc.)		
Active recreation (off-road vehicles, mountain biking, hunting, fishing)		
Physical resource extraction (rock, sediment, oil/gas)		
Biological resource extraction (aquaculture, commercial fisheries)		
Comments		